UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/500,851	07/16/2004	Susumu Senshu	255147US6PCT	2817	
22850 OBLON, SPIV	22850 7590 12/28/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE STREET			NALVEN, ANDREW L		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2134		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/28/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

min

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comment	10/500,851	SENSHU, SUSUMU				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andrew L. Nalven	2134				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 No	ovember 2007.					
·— ·	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-39 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	') Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 July 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
_ · · · · · ·	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/13/2007</u> , <u>7/16/2004</u> .	6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Application/Control Number:

10/500,851 Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-39 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Claims 10-39 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 11/13/2007.
- 3. Examiner has reviewed Applicant's arguments regarding the restriction requirement and finds them unpersuasive. There would be a burden upon the Examiner to examine, search, and prosecute claims from groups I, II, III, and IV due to their separate classifications and features.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

10/500,851 Art Unit: 2134

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 4. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Okamoto et al US Patent No. 7.310,823.
- 5. With regards to claims 1 and 9, Okamoto teaches a recording system in which an input device and a recording apparatus are connected to each other via a bus (Okamoto, Figure 4, column 7 lines 35-45), said input device comprising determination means for determining whether input content is to be protected (Okamoto, column 8 lines 10-13, encrypted as needed), said recording apparatus comprising recording means for recording on a recording medium, together with the content, protection information indicating whether the content is to be protected when being transmitted on the bus based on a determination result obtained by said determination means (Okamoto, column 8 lines 10-26).
- 6. **With regards to claim 2,** Okamoto teaches said recording means records the protection information in predetermined units of the content (Okamoto, column 8 lines 28-37).
- 7. **With regards to claim 3,** Okamoto teaches the predetermined unit is 2048 bytes content (Okamoto, column 8 lines 28-37).
- 8. With regards to claim 4, Okamoto teaches said recording apparatus further comprises encryption means for encrypting the content by using an ID and a recording medium key of the recording medium when said determination means determines that the content is to be protected (Okamoto, column 8 lines 10-27).

Application/Control Number:

10/500,851 Art Unit: 2134 Page 4

- 9. With regards to claim 5, Okamoto teaches said recording apparatus further comprises encryption means for encrypting the content by using at least a recording medium key of the recording medium when said determination means determines that the content is not to be protected (Okamoto, column 8 lines 10-27).
- 10. With regards to claim 7, Okamoto teaches a recording system according to claim 1, wherein: said input device further comprises first encryption means for encrypting the content before being sent to the bus when said determination means determines that the content is to be protected; and said recording apparatus further comprises second encryption means for encrypting the content before being recorded by said recording means when said determination means determines that the content is to be protected (Okamoto, column 8 lines 10-27).
- 11. With regards to claim 8, Okamoto teaches said first encryption means prohibits the content from being encrypted before being sent to the bus when said determination means determines that the content is not to be protected (Okamoto, column 8 lines 10-27).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 5

- Application/Control Number:

10/500,851 Art Unit: 2134

- 12. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

 Okamoto et al US Patent No. 7.310,823 in view of Ando et al US Patent No. 7,286,746.
- 13. With regards to claim 6, Okamoto fails to teach each of said input device and said recording apparatus further comprises authentication means for authenticating each other. However, Ando teaches each of said input device and said recording apparatus further comprises authentication means for authenticating each other (Ando, column 3 lines 39-45). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize Ando's method of mutual authentication because it offers the advantage of ensuring that the recording and input devices are known devices which will limit the chances of the exposing of an encryption key (Ando, column 22 lines 30-50).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew L. Nalven whose telephone number is 571 272 3839. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 8-6, Alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kambiz Zand can be reached on 571 272 3811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/500,851 Art Unit: 2134

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Andrew Nalven